

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,696	07/24/2001	Christian C. Landry	COMP:0244 P01-3660	6978
7:	590 04/27/2004		EXAM	INER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION VORTMAN, ANATOLY				
LEGAL DEPA	RTMENT M/S 35			
P.O. BOX 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CO 80527-2400		2835	

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application N .				M
## Examiner ## Anatoly Vortnam ## 2835 ## Tunit ## 2835 ## AT Unit ## 2835 ## AT U		Application N .	Applicant(s)	1
## Examiner ## Anatoly Vortinan ## 2835 ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ** Extension of time may be evaluate under the populations of 37 CPR 1.756(b). In so event, however, may a reply be timely field. ** If the period for reply is specified above, the near-instance of 37 CPR 1.756(b). In so event, however, may a reply be timely field. ** If the period for reply is specified above, the near-instance period will apply and will expire SN (6) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication, and the period of the corrust period of the corrust period of the corrust period term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.764(b). **Status** ****** ***** ***** ***** ***** ****		09/912,696	LANDRY ET AL.	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Esterations of term give a evalidate under the provisions of JCCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed if the provision of the provision of JCCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed if the provision of the provision of JCCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed if the provision of the above claim(s)	Office Action Summary	<u> </u>	Art Unit	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Esterations of term give a evalidate under the provisions of JCCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed if the provision of the provision of JCCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed if the provision of the provision of JCCR 1.13(g). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed if the provision of the above claim(s)		Anatoly Vortman	2835	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Entertained or time may be brained by under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.35(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed in the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a neply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a neply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a neply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a neply within the statutory minimum of the minimum of the statutory minimum of the stat				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions for the may be windle under the proteined of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of his communication, and private the stationy profit with the station profit of the communication				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2004 (RCE). 2a ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☑ This action is non-final. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 and 36-59 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 and 36-59 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All · b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Referencas Cited (PTO-892) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informat Palent Application (PTO-152)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-33 and 36-59 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1-33 and 36-59 is/are rejected. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Tratspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) paper Nots)/Mail Date 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-33 and 36-59 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Parlspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Discourse Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S8/08) 5) Notice of Informal Palent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	March 2004 (RCE).		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	nis action is non-final.		
Al) Claim(s) 1-33 and 36-59 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to .See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-152)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			is
4)	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All · b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All · b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-33 and 36-59 is/are pending in th	e application.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•			
Application Papers 9	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33 and 36-59</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All · b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		iner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•		by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	1(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	ı
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		g. p. c. y c. c.	3 (-) (-) (-)	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·— ·-	ents have been received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Solution of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			Application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have beer	n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies no	i received.	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
		es □ \$1.6°		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/20/2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

The limitations "footprints are each adapted to rest on a supporting surface" in claim 1; "the footprint and the reduced footprint are each adapted to contact a supporting surface" in claim 20; "different footprints, each adapted for support on a surface" in claim 32; "footprints adapted for support on a surface" in claim 43; and "footprints adapted to rest on a supporting surface" in claim 51, are used by the claims in conjunction with term "footprint" to mean

Art Unit: 2835

structural elements, since only structural elements (i.e. physical things) can rest on or be supported by the surface, wherein the accepted <u>ordinary</u> meaning of the term "footprint" in the computer art is: "<u>the space occupied by a computer's case on a desk"</u> (see Que's Computer User's Dictionary, Second Edition, by Bryan Pfaffenberger, Ph.D. School of Engineering and Applied Science University of Virginia, Que® Corporation, Carmel, Indiana, 1991, p. 229).

Hence, according to the aforementioned definition, the "footprint" is an occupied space and not a material object or a part of the structure and cannot be defined as a structural element of the device (i.e. of a computer).

The Examiner believes that Applicant has acted as his or her own lexicographer in an attempt to use the term "footprint" contrary to its ordinary meaning, but the written description did <u>not</u> redefine the term and did <u>not</u> set forth the uncommon definition.

Where Applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the <u>written description must clearly redefine the claim term</u> and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999).

Therefore, the aforementioned limitations used in the claims in conjunction with term "footprint" are rendering claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

Art Unit: 2835

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-7, 11-17, 20-24, 27-33, 36-47, 49-56, 58, and 59, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US/6,430,038 to Helot et al. (Helot).

Regarding claim 1, Helot disclosed (Fig. 1-3) a computer system (20) comprising: a component housing comprising: a first section (22); and a second section (42) rotatably coupled to the first section (22); a third section (54, 56) rotatably coupled to the second section (42), wherein the first, second, and third sections are rotatable between a plurality of configurations having different footprints (Fig. 2, 3) and at least two of the first, second, and third sections are adapted to house components (i.e. section (22) houses keyboard (21) and section (42) houses components of the hinge assembly (27)); and a display (28) rotatably coupled to the component housing.

Regarding claim 20, Helot disclosed a space saving system (Fig. 1-3) for a computing device, comprising: a display (28); a multi-sectional housing comprising at least a portion (42) rotatable to an upright orientation to provide a reduced footprint of the multi-sectional housing (Fig. 2); and an intermediate member (54, 56) rotatably coupled to the display (28) at a first end and rotatably coupled to the at least one housing section (42) at a second end.

Regarding claim 32, Helot disclosed a computer structure (Fig. 1-3), comprising: a body having at least four rotatably coupled sections (22, 42, 54, 56, 28) comprising at least two component housing sections (22, 42) configured to support computing components and at least one display housing configured to support a display (28), wherein the at least four rotatably

Art Unit: 2835

coupled sections are rotatable between configurations having at least two different footprints (Fig. 2, 3).

Regarding the limitations: "footprints are each adapted to rest on a supporting surface" in claim 1; "the footprint and the reduced footprint are each adapted to contact a supporting surface" in claim 20; "different footprints, each adapted for support on a surface" in claim 32; and "footprints adapted for support on a surface" in claim 43, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138. Therefore the aforementioned limitations have not been given patentable weight.

Regarding claims 2 and 22, Helot disclosed that multi-sectional housing comprises a flat panel housing (panel housing sections 22, 42, and 28).

Regarding claim 33, Helot disclosed that the at least two component housing sections (22, 42) are coupled at a pivot joint (27) and rotatably movable between an L-shaped configuration (Fig. 1) and a substantially flat configuration (Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 3-6, 23, and 24, Helot disclosed that said component housing is for a computer (column 1, lines 37+), therefore it inherently comprises a computing circuitry including: a processor, a memory, and a power supply.

Regarding claim 7, Helot disclosed that the component housing comprises an input device (21).

Art Unit: 2835

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Helot disclosed a support structure (46) including a horizontal mount structure for supporting an angular orientation of the second section (42) relative to the first section (22).

Regarding claim 13, Helot disclosed an angular lock assembly (Fig. 11, elements (80, 86)) for securing the component housing at a desired relative angle between the sections.

Regarding claims 14, 15, 16, and 21, Helot disclosed that the display (28) comprises a flat panel display (30) and a connector arm (54, 56) having first and second pivot joints (47, 57) rotatably coupling the display (28) and the component housing.

Regarding claim 17, Helot disclosed that the connector arm (54, 56) comprises a releasable display mount (47).

Regarding claim 27, Helot disclosed that the intermediate member (54, 56) comprises a connector arm having a first end rotatably coupled to the multi-sectional housing (42) and a second end rotatably coupled to the display (28) at an offset distance from the multi-sectional housing (42).

Regarding claim 28, Helot disclosed a releasable display mount (47) disposed at one of the first and second ends.

Regarding claim 29, Helot disclosed a support structure (46) for supporting the at least one housing section (42) of the multi-sectional housing in the upright orientation.

Regarding claims 30 and 31, Helot disclosed that the multi-sectional housing comprises rotatably coupled sections (22, 28, 54, 56, 42) configured for plurality of angular orientations and geometrical configurations, including a base section (22) of reduced footprint (Fig. 1A) and a rotatable section (42) rotatable between a base orientation (Fig. 3) having an added footprint and

Art Unit: 2835

the upright orientation (Fig. 1A) configured for decreasing space consumption of the multisectional housing.

Regarding claims 36 and 37, Helot disclosed that the configurations include a folded configuration (Fig. 2) with a substantially flat arrangement of the rotatably coupled sections (22, 42) and a zigzagging configuration, (Fig. 1).

Regarding claims 38, 39 and 40, Helot disclosed that at least four rotatably coupled sections (22, 28, 54, 56, 42) comprise an intermediate member (connector arms) (54, 56) disposed between the at least one display housing (28) and one of the at least two component housing sections (42, 22), the configurations comprising a working configuration (Fig. 1) having the at least one display housing (28) positioned at a desired viewing orientation for the display and having the at least two component housing sections (22, 42) positioned at a desired orientation for mounting on a surface.

Regarding claims 41, Helot disclosed a releasable display coupling (47) disposed at one of first and second ends of the intermediate member (54, 56).

Regarding claim 42, Helot disclosed that at least a portion of the computer components integrally coupled (inherently) within the plurality of rotatably coupled housing sections (22, 42, 54, 56), wherein the computer components comprise a display (28) and a processor (inherently, since the device is a computer), and the display (28) includes a panel display screen (30).

Regarding claims 43-47, 49-56, 58, and 59, as best understood, the method steps recited in the claims, are inherently necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Helot.

Art Unit: 2835

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 8-10, 18, 25, 26, and 57, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Helot in view of US/6,006,243 to Karidis.

Regarding claims 8-10, 25, and 26, Helot disclosed all of the claims limitations as apply

to claims 7, 20, and 23, but did not disclose removable wireless input devices.

Karidis disclosed a computer system (Fig. 1, 2) comprising a wireless communication

system represented by a removable wireless keyboard and a pointing device (column 3, lines 31-

40).

Since inventions of Helot and Karidis are from the same field of endeavor (portable

computers), the purpose of wireless removable input devices disclosed by Karidis would be

recognized in the invention of Helot.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in computer art at the time the

invention was made to modify said computer of Helot by providing it with removable wireless

input devices as taught by Karidis in order to provide additional user functionality and flexibility

(Karidis, column 2, lines 44, 45).

Regarding claim 57, as best understood, the method steps recited in the claim are

inherently necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Helot in view of Karidis.

Art Unit: 2835

Regarding claim 18, Helot disclosed all of the claim limitations as apply to claim 17, but did not disclose that the connector arm has a hot-plugable electrical coupling mechanism for removably coupling the display to the component housing.

Karidis disclosed (Fig. 4) such hot-plugable electrical coupling mechanism (82, 84) for removably coupling the display (72) to the housing (74).

Since inventions of Helot and Karidis are from the same field of endeavor (portable computers), the purpose of the hot-plugable electrical coupling disclosed by Karidis would be recognized in the invention of Helot.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in computer art at the time the invention was made to modify the computer of Helot by providing the intermediate members (arms) with the hot-plugable electrical coupling mechanism for removably coupling the display to the component housing as taught by Karidis in order to provide additional user functionality and flexibility (Karidis, column 2, lines 44, 45).

8. Claims 19 and 48, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Helot in view of US/5,260,884 to Stern.

Regarding claim 19, Helot disclosed all of the claim limitations as applied to claim 1, but did not disclose a handle assembly coupled to the component housing.

Stern disclosed (Fig. 1) a portable computer system having a component housing (14) with an integral handle (11) attached thereon.

Art Unit: 2835

Since the inventions of Helot and Stern are from the same field of endeavor (portable computers), the purpose of the handle disclosed by Stern would be recognized in the invention of Helot.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the computer art at the time the invention was made to provide the component housing of Helot with the integrally formed handle as taught by Stern, in order to facilitate carrying of the device.

Regarding claim 48, as best understood, the method steps recited in the claim, are inherently necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by Helot in view of Stern.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please note that amendments to the independent claims of record had necessitated new rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and modified rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102 (b).

Furthermore, the Applicant has cited the definition of the term "footprint" as follows: "mark left by the shod or unshod foot, as in earth, sand, etc." or an "impression of the sole of person's foot." THE RANDOM HOUSE COLLEGE DICTIONARY 514 (REV. ED. 1988)" (p. 16, lines 7+).

The Examiner would like to direct the Applicant's attention to the fact that the aforementioned definition is completely out of the field of endeavor the present application is

Art Unit: 2835

related to (i.e. computers). Furthermore, the aforementioned definition is not related to any technical field of endeavor whatsoever.

To the contrary, the definition cited earlier by the Examiner in 35 USC 112 section of the present Office Action is precisely related to the computer field of endeavor and therefore precisely reflects the ordinary meaning of the term "footprint" is in the computer art.

Furthermore, to underscore the Examiner's position the following alternative definition of the "footprint" is presented as follows: "the outline and surface area occupied by a computer and its peripheral equipment on the floor or desk top". (Academic Press Dictionary of Science and Technology, Edited by Christopher Morris, Academic Press, Inc., 1992, p. 864, left column). As it can be seen the later definition is perfectly in line with the definition which has been cited by the Examiner earlier.

Furthermore, to underscore the Examiner's position that the ordinary meaning of the term "footprint" in the computer art is related to a projection (a shadow) of the physical structure (i.e. of the computer structure) on a supporting surface, the Examiner would like to direct the Applicant's attention to the US/6,480,376 to Nguyen et al., (an invention from the computer field of endeavor) wherein the term "footprint" is used precisely in line with the Examiner's interpretation and in line with the definitions cited by the Examiner (see Fig. 2 and 8; column 2, lines 24+, column 8, lines 49-54 of Nguen et al ('376) patent).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2835

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's

disclosure:

US/6,480,376 to Nguen et al. disclosed portable computer docking station which has

adjustable variable incline relative to the supporting surface thus producing variable footprint on

said surface.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anatoly Vortman whose telephone number is 571-272-2047. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, between 10:00 am and 6:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2800, ext 35. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anatoly Vortman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835

A. Vele -

AV